

### USAID-Funded Nepal Hydropower Development Project (NHDP)

**Presentation on Local Shares** 

Name of Facilitator: Nirjan Rai and Anup Upreti Date: 29 November 2018

November 29, 2018

This document was prepared for the United States Agency for International Development Nepal (USAID/Nepal) by Deloitte Consulting LLP on Contract No. AID-367-TO-15-00003. The contents are not the responsibility of USAID, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Government.

#### What are local shares

- Local shares are a subset of the public shares of an HPC set aside for communities
- There has been an outburst of interest from communities to invest in local shares
- A right that is now enshrined in the Constitution of Nepal
- Different perspectives:
  - Local Communities: Entitlement and an opportunity to invest
  - Investors: Source of capital and management of risk



#### **Evolution of local shares**

- The story of the 22.1 MW Chilime... and 456 MW Upper Tamakoshi
- The uniqueness of Chilime
  - Favorable power purchase agreement
  - Paid off its debt within few years of operation
  - Project was already giving out dividends
  - SEBON was not fully sure how to regulate the new phenomenon



#### **Deconstructing Local Shares**

Description	Major Policy Question		
Total shares set aside for local communities	What is the appropriate amount of shares that should be allocated to communities?		
Process of distributing shares to individuals	Does the share distribution process consider the special aspects of issuing shares in rural locations?		
People eligible for local shares, including categorization of eligibility	Should affectedness be a criterion for share issuance?		
Time of issuance of local shares	Should shares be issued before or after commercial operation?		
Price at which shares are made available to local people	Should local shares be offered at par value or at a premium?		
Financing the purchase of local shares	What instruments and options are available for financing local share purchases?		
Institutional mechanism for offering shares to local people	Should the mechanism for delivering local share ownership be direct or indirect?		
Retention and liquidation of local shares	How do people retain or divest their local shares?		
	communitiesProcess of distributing shares to individualsPeople eligible for local shares, including categorization of eligibilityTime of issuance of local sharesPrice at which shares are made available to local peopleFinancing the purchase of local sharesInstitutional mechanism for offering shares to local peopleRetention and liquidation of local		



## Amount of Allocation

- Securities Regulation: up to 10% of issued capital for local shares
- 10% rule is becoming a politically accepted benchmark for local shares



### Process of Allocation

- Process guided by Securities Issuance and Allotment Guideline: share allocation process for all public listed companies
- National practices: 3 versions of allotment guidelines (1994, 2008, 2017)
- Introduction of new technologies: ASBA, Demat, and C-ASBA



#### Eligibility

- The Constitution of Nepal gives local communities the priority to make investment in the use of natural resources. In 2016, SEBON direct all HPPs to define eligibility as per the project EIA
  - However, the definition of affectedness is not consistent across EIAs
  - Defining who qualifies for local shares is less technical and more political
- Unit of eligibility first defined by Chilime:
  - affected VDCs and
  - rest of the district
- Lack of clarity with respect to the eligibility of communities affected by ancillary infrastructure



### Timing and Pricing

- The Securities Regulation (2016): specifies the conditions that need to be fulfilled prior to issuance of public shares
  - does not specify when local shares need to be issued; only implies that it must be issued before public shares
- The Securities Regulation (2016): establishes that the IPO of shares for publicly listed companies must be done at a par value of Rs. 100
  - There is also a provision under its Securities Issuance and Allotment Directive (2017) that allows for companies to issue shares at a premium
  - To date, all listed hydropower companies have issued local shares at par value, except Chilime
- Other pricing provisions being considered:
  - Arun-3 PDA 50% within COD+1 year at par value and the remaining 50% between COD+2&3 at premium value (no more than 2.5 times its par value)



#### Financing

- General people have the capacity and willingness to invest "small" amounts
  - Sources: savings, loans from friends and relatives, or selling off smaller tradable assets OR loans from informal lending institutions at higher interest rates (sometimes up to 60% per annum)
- Chilime HPP: only company to arrange financing for local shares through banks
- Lack of knowledge among communities on financing and its associated risk
- BFIs are reluctant to finance local shares



# Delivery Models

- To date, this issuance has been through the direct shareholding model
  - Need to find alternative delivery models for local shares due to the interest of several private investors, particularly foreign-based, who do not want to be listed publicly, but are willing to comply with the legal and political requirement of local shares
- Local communities unanimously prefer direct shareholding model



#### **Delivery Models**

	Special Purpose Vehicle				Collective			
	Private	Public - not-listed	Public - listed	Cooperative	Investment Scheme	Trust		
Ability of delivery model to hold shares	Able and cost effective to set up	Able but higher set up costs	Able but higher set up costs	Unable in current law; reform needed	Legally possible but limited by its current structure	Able to do so		
Ability of locals to	Allows but limited	lows but limited						
participate in	to 101	Allows wide participation of community members						
ownership	shareholders.							
Ability to hold and	Even higher Additional costs							
maintain	Additional costs involved in maintenance				Even higher additional costs	involved in		
investment					auditional costs	maintenance		
Ability to								
participate in	All delivery models allow this under existing law							
decisionmaking								
Ability to	Since it is assumed that these models will hold their total shares for the lifetime of the project, there is no							
efficiently	associated income from trading. All models allows for dividend income to be passed on to the local participants and							
distribute income	are equally tax efficient							
Ability of locals to divest investments	Does not allow for ex		Allows for exit but not as efficiently as direct ownership	Does not allow for a price-efficient exit	Allows for exit but not as efficiently as direct ownership	Does not allow for a price-efficient exit		



#### **Holding and Divestment**

- SEBON requires a lock-in period of three years for local shares from the date of IPO.
- Benefit mainly in the form of cash dividend, stock dividend and right issues in case if anybody decides to hold the shares.
- Divestment through NEPSE's trading platform.
- Even after the end of lock-in-period, there is high retention of local shares in Chilime
  - View that share is a valuable asset,
  - Limited understanding of how to take advantage of the stock market, and
  - High transaction cost associated with divestment



#### Shares at the end of Concession

- There are two approaches that companies have taken to project development in Nepal:
  - > a company owns one project, e.g., Himal Power Limited (60MW Khimiti HPP)
    - the value of the company shares, in theory, will approach zero towards the end of the project's license period
  - a company owns multiple SPV projects, e.g., Chilime Hydropower Company Limited (22.1MW Chilime, 102MW Middle Bhotekoshi, 42.5MW Sanjen, and 111MW Rasuwagadi HPPs).
    - the expiry of the license period of one's project should only affect the price of the company share to the extent of the value of that project and the price of the company then should reflect the economic value of other remaining assets.
- Legally, the Electricity Act (1992) allows for a company to, at the end of its project's concession period, enter into a new agreement with the government for the further operation and maintenance of the said project. Relevant institutions have different opinions on this, but have not discussed.

#### **Concluding Thoughts**

- Chilime the outlier
- The rise (and fall) of mass speculation
- A market yet to mature
- Minimizing risks of local communities
- Strengthening traditional forms of benefit sharing



#### **THANK YOU!**

USAID's NEPAL Hydropower Development Project Kathmandu, Nepal